

CONTINUITY OF SET OF BILIPSCHITZ CLASSES IN EUCLIDEAN SPACE

A. Magazinov

Introduction

This paper is devoted to studying biLipschitz equivalence of Delone sets.

Let M be a metric space with distance $d_M(x, y)$. Denote by $B_\rho(x)$ and $B_\rho^\circ(x)$ respectively the closed and the open balls with radius ρ centered at x . A set $\mathcal{A} \subset M$ is a *Delone set*, if for some $0 < r < R$ the following conditions hold.

- $B_r^\circ(x) \cap B_r^\circ(y) = \emptyset$ for every $x, y \in \mathcal{A}$.
- $\bigcup_{x \in \mathcal{A}} B_R(x) = M$.

Two Delone sets $\mathcal{A} \subset M_1$ and $\mathcal{B} \subset M_2$ are *biLipschitz equivalent*, if there exist a real $\lambda \geq 1$ and a bijection $F : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ such that the inequality

$$\frac{1}{\lambda} d_{M_1}(x, y) \leq d_{M_2}(F(x), F(y)) \leq \lambda d_{M_1}(x, y)$$

holds for every $x, y \in \mathcal{A}$.

Map F for which such an inequality holds is called λ -*biLipschitz*.

The question about biLipschitz equivalence was raised by M. Gromov in [1]. In particular, the following problem was stated:

Given a metric space M determine if every two Delone sets $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B} \subset M$ are biLipschitz equivalent.

If $M = \mathbb{E}^1$ — a Euclidean line, then the answer is obviously positive. Also positive answers were obtained by P. Papasoglu (see [2]) for homogeneous trees, O. Bogopolsky (see [3]) for hyperbolic spaces \mathbb{H}^d and K. Whyte (see [4]) for non-amenable spaces.

In case of Euclidean space $M = \mathbb{E}^d$ of dimension $d \geq 2$ D. Burago and B. Kleiner (see [5]) and independently C. McMullen (see [6]) proved the following result:

Theorem 1. *For every integer $d \geq 2$ there exists a Delone set $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathbb{E}^d$ which is not biLipschitz equivalent to the integer net \mathbb{Z}^d .*

In [5] theorem 1 is proved for $d = 2$, but the proof is easily generalized for every dimension $d \geq 2$. Therefore in \mathbb{E}^d for every $d \geq 2$ there exist at least 2 biLipschitz classes.

The main result of this paper is

Theorem 2. *For every integer $d \geq 2$ the set of biLipschitz classes in \mathbb{E}^d has cardinality continuum.*

Proof of theorem 2

Obtain the upper estimate for cardinality of the set of biLipschitz classes.

Use the following result of A. Garber (see [7, lemma 2])

Lemma 3. *Let $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathbb{E}^d$ be a Delone set. Then there exists a Delone set $\mathcal{D} \subset \mathbb{Z}^d$ such that \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{D} are biLipschitz equivalent.*

From lemma 3 follows that every biLipschitz class has at least one member among subsets of \mathbb{Z}^d . Therefore cardinality of the set of biLipschitz classes is at most cardinality of family of all subsets containing in \mathbb{Z}^d , i.e. continuum. The upper estimate proved.

To prove the lower estimate obtain a continuum family of pairwise non-equivalent Delone sets. These sets will be members of some special class.

From this point we consider only rectangular coordinates in \mathbb{E}^d . Parallelepipeds (cubes) with edges parallel to coordinate lines are called *coordinate*.

Let Q be a coordinate cube. Denote by $m(Q)$ its vertex with the least sum of coordinates.

Consider a tiling T of \mathbb{E}^d into coordinate cubes whose edge lengths belong to $[1, L]$. The set $\mathcal{A} = \{m(Q) : Q \in T\}$ is obviously a Delone set. Delone sets obtained in such a way are called *L-special*.

Consider a map $G_{\mathcal{A}} : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow T$ sending each point $x \in \mathcal{A}$ to a cube $Q \in T$ such that $x = m(Q)$.

A point x of special Delone set \mathcal{A} is *standard* if $G_{\mathcal{A}}(x)$ a unit cube and *exceptional* otherwise.

Introduce some notation. Let

$$\mathcal{P}_{MN} = \mathbb{Z}^d \cap ([0, MN] \times [0, N)^{d-1}),$$

$$\mathcal{P}_{MN}^i = \mathbb{Z}^d \cap ([iN, (i+1)N] \times [0, N)^{d-1}) \text{ for } i = 0, 1, \dots, M-1,$$

$$u = (0, 0, \dots, 0),$$

$$v = (MN, 0, 0, \dots, 0).$$

Call points $x, y \in \mathcal{P}_{MN}$ *corresponding* if $y - x = (N, 0, 0, \dots, 0)$.

Lemma 4. *Let $\lambda \geq 1$, $\varepsilon \in (0, \frac{1}{4})$, $a \in (0, 1)$. Then there exist $k > 0$ and $M_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for every $M, N \in \mathbb{N}$ $M > M_0$ and for arbitrary λ -biLipschitz map $F : \mathcal{P}_{MN} \rightarrow \mathbb{E}^d$ at least one of the following statements hold:*

1. *There exist corresponding points x, y such that*

$$\frac{|F(y) - F(x)|}{|y - x|} > (1 + k) \frac{|F(v) - F(u)|}{|v - u|};$$

2. *There exists i such that number of pairs of corresponding points $x \in \mathcal{P}_{MN}^i$, $y \in \mathcal{P}_{MN}^{i+1}$ for which holds*

$$\frac{|F(y) - F(x) - \frac{1}{M}(F(v) - F(u))|}{\frac{1}{M}|F(v) - F(u)|} < \varepsilon,$$

is at least aN^d .

Proof for $d = 2$ is in [5, Lemma 3.2]. Proof for an arbitrary d is obtained by a straightforward repeating the arguments of [5].

Lemma 5. *Let $I = [0, 1]$, $\alpha \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$, and let $P, Q \subset I^d$ be closed sets with a boundary being a finite polyhedron. If $P \cup Q = I^d$, $\text{int } P \cap \text{int } Q =$*

\emptyset and also $\text{Vol}_d(P) \geq \alpha$ and $\text{Vol}_d(Q) \geq \alpha$ then $(d-1)$ -dimensional volume $\text{Vol}_{d-1}(\partial P \cap \partial Q) \geq \frac{\alpha}{2^{d-1}}$.

Proof. Denote by π the projection onto hyperplane $x_1 = 0$.

Conduct the proof by induction over d .

Induction base: $d = 2$. If $\text{Vol}_1(\pi(P) \cap \pi(Q)) \geq \frac{\alpha}{2}$ then statement of lemma is obviously true. Otherwise the following inequalities hold:

$$1 - \alpha \geq 1 - \text{Vol}_2(Q) = \text{Vol}_2(P) \geq \text{Vol}_1(\pi(P)) - \text{Vol}_1(\pi(P) \cap \pi(Q)).$$

Hence $\text{Vol}_{d-1}(\pi(P)) < 1 - \frac{\alpha}{2}$.

Therefore there exists $t_P \in (0, 1)$ such that $P \cap \{x_2 = t_P\} = \emptyset$. Similarly, there exists $t_Q \in (0, 1)$ such that $Q \cap \{x_2 = t_Q\} = \emptyset$. It follows that projection of P, Q onto line $x_2 = 0$ is a segment $[0, 1]$. Hence $\text{Vol}_1(\partial P \cap \partial Q) \geq 1 > \frac{\alpha}{2}$ and for $d = 2$ statement is proved.

Induction step. Similarly to previous if $\text{Vol}_{d-1}(\pi(P) \cap \pi(Q)) \geq \frac{\alpha}{2}$ statement of lemma is obvious. Otherwise $\text{Vol}_{d-1}(\pi(P)) < 1 - \frac{\alpha}{2}$. Then every section of Q by a hyperplane $x_1 = t$ has a $(d-1)$ -volume $\geq \frac{\alpha}{2}$. Similarly, every section of P by a hyperplane $x_1 = t$ has a $(d-1)$ -volume $\geq \frac{\alpha}{2}$. By induction assumption, every section of $\partial P \cap \partial Q$ has a $(d-2)$ -volume $\geq \frac{\alpha}{2^{d-1}}$, and the statement of lemma is now obvious.

Lemma 6. *Given $\lambda \geq 1$, $L \geq 1$ and rational $c > 1$ there exists a finite point set \mathcal{B}_0 and a parallelepiped $\Pi = \prod_{i=1}^d [0, b_i]$ $b_i \in \mathbb{N}$ such that:*

1. $\mathcal{B}_0 \subset \Pi$.
2. *There exists a tiling T_0 of Π into coordinate cubes with edges 1 and c such that $\{m(Q) : Q \in T_0\} = \mathcal{B}_0$.*
3. *For every Delone set \mathcal{B} such that $\mathcal{B} \cap \Pi = \mathcal{B}_0$ and $(0, 0, \dots, 0, b_d) \in \mathcal{B}$ and for every λ -biLipschitz bijection $F : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ where \mathcal{A} is L -special, the set $F(\mathcal{B}_0)$ has at least one exceptional point.*

Proof. Conduct the construction of \mathcal{B}_0 in 3 steps:

1. Choose ε and a which have the same meaning as in lemma 4; choose a parameter H_0 .
2. Choose N and M .
3. Choose H fulfilling $H \geq H_0$ and construction of \mathcal{B}_0 itself.

Describe the construction beginning from the last step. Let $\varepsilon, a, M, N, H_0$ be already chosen on previous steps.

Take a parallelepiped

$$\Phi_{0,(0,0,\dots,0)} = [0, 1)^{d-1} \times [0, M).$$

Consider its tiling into unit cubes. Colour these cubes checkerboardwise into black and white, starting with black.

Take in parallelepiped $\Phi_{0,(0,0,\dots,0)}$ parallelepipeds

$$\Phi_{1,\frac{1}{N} \cdot (j_1, j_2, \dots, j_{d-1}, 0)} = [0, \frac{1}{M}]^{d-1} \times [0, M] + \frac{1}{N} \cdot (j_1, j_2, \dots, j_{d-1}, 0)$$

where $j_i = 0, 1, \dots, N-1$. From this point colouring of $\Phi_{0,(0,0,\dots,0)}$ will change only inside parallelepipeds of type $\Phi_{1,z}$. Divide each of these parallelepipeds into cubes with edge equal to $\frac{1}{M}$ and colour them checkerboardwise starting from black.

Continue the process. On ν -th step in each parallelepiped of type

$$\Phi_{\nu-1,z} = [0, \frac{1}{M^{\nu-1}}]^{d-1} \times [0, M] + z$$

take the parallelepipeds

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_{\nu,z+\frac{1}{N \cdot M^{\nu-1}} \cdot (j_1, j_2, \dots, j_{d-1}, 0)} &= \\ &= [0, \frac{1}{M^\nu}]^{d-1} \times [0, M] + z + \frac{1}{N \cdot M^{\nu-1}} \cdot (j_1, j_2, \dots, j_{d-1}, 0). \end{aligned}$$

From this point colouring will change only inside these parallelepipeds. Divide each of these parallelepipeds into cubes with edge equal to $\frac{1}{M^\nu}$ and colour them checkerboardwise starting from black.

Repeat while $\nu \leq \nu_0 = \lceil \log_{1+k} \lambda^2 \rceil + 2$.

Note that if $\Phi_{0,(0,0,\dots,0)}$ is divided into cubes with edge $\frac{1}{NM^{\nu_0}}$ then each of them is coloured in one colour — black or white. Call them *coloured cubes*

Make a homothety of parallelepiped $\Phi_{0,(0,0,\dots,0)}$ together with colouring of coefficient H and center at origin. Choose H such that coloured cubes were taken into cubes that have integer edges and also could be divided into cubes with edge c . Inequality $H \geq H_0$ also must hold.

Images of black coloured cubes divide into unit cubes and images of white cubes — into cubes with edge c . The obtained tiling of $\Pi = H \cdot \Phi_{0,(0,0,\dots,0)}$ denote by T_0 . Let $\mathcal{B}_0 = \{m(Q) : Q \in T_0\}$.

Describe the second step. Let ε, a, H_0 be chosen before, choose N and M .

Let $y - x = (0, 0, \dots, 0, 1)$. Choose N such that if $P = [0, \frac{1}{N}]^d$ then for every vector \mathbf{e} fulfilling

$$|F(y) - F(x) - \mathbf{e}| < \varepsilon \cdot |\mathbf{e}|,$$

holds the inequality

$$|F(y') - F(x') - \mathbf{e}| < 2\varepsilon \cdot |\mathbf{e}|$$

if only $x' \in x + P$, $y' \in y + P$ and F is λ -biLipschitz.

Let P_1, P_2 be cubes with edge $\frac{H}{M^l}$ coloured on l -th step black and white respectively. Let each be divided into N^d equal cubes and let $Q_1 \subset P_1, Q_2 \subset P_2$ be such cubes. Choose M such that independently from choice of H holds true

$$\frac{\#(P_1 \cap \mathcal{B}_0)}{\#(P_2 \cap \mathcal{B}_0)} \geq \frac{1+c}{2}.$$

This inequality is obviously true if only

$$\text{Vol}_d(Q_1 \cap (\cup_z \Phi_{l+1,z})) \leq \frac{1}{c-1} \text{Vol}_d(Q_1) \text{ and}$$

$$\text{Vol}_d(Q_2 \cap (\cup_z \Phi_{l+1,z})) \leq \frac{1}{c-1} \text{Vol}_d(Q_2)$$

which is true for big enough M . Also take $M > M_0$ where M_0 comes from lemma 4 and $N|M$.

Describe the first step.

Let $a = \frac{3+c}{2+2c}$. Show that there exists a choice of ε and $H_0(\varepsilon)$ such that \mathcal{B}_0 constructed as before fulfilled the conditions of lemma 6.

Suppose that for every ε and H_0 there is a Delone set $\mathcal{B} \supset \mathcal{B}_0$ fulfilling the conditions of lemma 6 and λ -biLipschitz bijection $F : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ such that $F(\mathcal{B}_0)$ consists only of standard points.

Let $u = (0, 0, \dots, 0)$, $v = (0, 0, \dots, 0, HM)$. If the first case of statement of lemma 4 holds there exist corresponding points x, y such that $\frac{|F(y)-F(x)|}{|y-x|} > (1+k) \frac{|F(v)-F(u)|}{|v-u|}$. In this case instead of u, v consider a pair x, y and restriction of F to a subset of \mathcal{B}_0 contained in parallelepiped

$$x + [0, \frac{H}{M})^{d-1} \times [0, H).$$

Apply to this set all the arguments similarly as to \mathcal{B}_0 . If such a substitution can be made $\lceil \log_{1+k} \lambda^2 \rceil + 2$ times, then from u, v we come to u', v' such that $\frac{|F(v')-F(u')|}{|v'-u'|} > \lambda^2 \frac{|F(v)-F(u)|}{|v-u|}$, which makes a contradiction to λ -biLipschitz property of F .

Therefore on some step we have the second case of lemma 4. Let the adjoint cubes for which this case holds have numbers $i \leq i+1$. Let also i -th cube be originally white and, respectively, $(i+1)$ -th black. Let $\tilde{F} = G_{\mathcal{A}} \circ F$.

Let \mathcal{C} be a set of points of i -th cube such that have distance at least $10\lambda L$ from its boundary, \mathcal{C}' are all points of $i+1$ -th cube. Using our assumptions obtain two inequalities involving $\text{Vol}_{d-1}(\partial \tilde{F}(\mathcal{C}))$.

$$\text{Vol}_{d-1}(\partial \tilde{F}(\mathcal{C})) \leq \beta_0 \cdot |\mathcal{C}|^{\frac{d-1}{d}},$$

$$\text{Vol}_{d-1}(\partial \tilde{F}(\mathcal{C})) \geq \beta_2 \varepsilon^{-1} \cdot |\mathcal{C}|^{\frac{d-1}{d}}.$$

For small enough ε they contradict each other and that completes the proof of lemma 6.

Proving lemmas 7 and 8 H is assumed big enough depending on ε , i.e. $H \geq H_0(\varepsilon)$.

Lemma 7. Inequality

$$\text{Vol}_{d-1}(\partial \tilde{F}(\mathcal{C})) \leq \beta_0 \cdot |\mathcal{C}|^{\frac{d-1}{d}}$$

holds true, where β_0 is a constant depending on d, λ, L and c (but not ε).

Proof. This inequality follows immediately from the fact that if $\tilde{F}(x)$ has common boundary with $\tilde{F}(\mathcal{C})$ then x is a point of i -th cube, that does not depend on \mathcal{C} . The number of such points does not exceed $\beta_1 \cdot |\mathcal{C}|^{\frac{d-1}{d}}$, hence $(d-1)$ -volume of boundary of corresponding cubes does not exceed $\beta_0 \cdot |\mathcal{C}|^{\frac{d-1}{d}}$.

Let $s = 4\varepsilon \frac{1}{M} |F(v) - F(u)|$.

Let K be a real independent from ε and such that

$$\left(1 + \frac{(2K+2)^d - (2K)^d}{K^d}\right) \frac{4}{3+c} < \frac{8}{7+c}.$$

Take a full (in respect to inclusion relationship) packing of coordinate cubes with centers in $F(\mathcal{C})$ and edges equal to Ks . Let it consist of W cubes.

Denote by U a union of coordinate cubes with the same centers and edges equal to $2Ks$. Since the chosen packing is full all points of $F(\mathcal{C})$ are contained in U .

Let τ be a translation by vector $\frac{1}{M}(F(v) - F(u))$. Consider $\frac{s}{2}$ -neighbourhood of $\tau(F(\mathcal{C}))$. Denote by \mathcal{C}'' the set of points of \mathcal{A} that belong to this neighbourhood. Since the second case of lemma 4 assumed true and due to choice of a and M obtain:

$$|\mathcal{C}' \cap \mathcal{C}''| \geq a \frac{1+c}{2} \cdot |\mathcal{C}| = \frac{3+c}{4} \cdot |\mathcal{C}|.$$

But $\tilde{F}(\mathcal{C}' \cap \mathcal{C}'')$ is contained in the union of cubes with the same centers as $\tau(U)$ and edge equal to $(2K+2)s$, because for big H holds $s > 1$. Denote this union by U_1 .

Note that $\text{Vol}_d(U) \geq WK^d s^d$, $\text{Vol}_d(U_1) \leq \text{Vol}_d(U) + ((2K+2)^d - (2K)^d)Ws^d$. According to choice of K obtain

$$\text{Vol}_d(\tilde{F}(\mathcal{C})) \leq \frac{4}{3+c} \text{Vol}_d(U_1) \leq \frac{8}{7+c} \text{Vol}_d(U).$$

Rewrite the last inequality as $\text{Vol}_d(U \setminus \tilde{F}(\mathcal{C})) \geq \frac{c-1}{7+c} \text{Vol}_d(U)$. Due to an estimate for $\text{Vol}_d(U)$ already obtained,

$$\text{Vol}_d(U \setminus \tilde{F}(\mathcal{C})) \geq \frac{c-1}{7+c} WK^d s^d.$$

Let $\mu \in (0, 1)$ be such that $\mu + (1-\mu) \cdot \frac{c-1}{2^d(10+c)} < \frac{c-1}{2^d(7+c)}$. Note that μ does not depend on ε . Then in at least μW cubes of U set $\tilde{F}(\mathcal{C})$ occupies volume at most $\left(1 - \frac{c-1}{2^d(10+c)}\right) \cdot (2Ks)^d$. Call the cubes *marked*.

Lemma 8. Suppose ε small enough, then in our assumptions on F

$$\text{Vol}_{d-1}(\partial \tilde{F}(\mathcal{C})) \geq \beta_1 \varepsilon^{-1} \cdot |\mathcal{C}|^{\frac{d-1}{d}},$$

where β_2 depends on d , λ , and c .

Proof. If $\varepsilon < \frac{1}{4K\lambda^2}$ and H is big enough then in every marked cube $\tilde{F}(\mathcal{C})$ occupies volume at least $\beta_3 \cdot (2Ks)^d$. Indeed, if $F(x)$ is a center of marked cube

then due to λ -biLipschitz property of F all points of $\mathcal{C} \cap B_{\frac{Ks}{\lambda}}(x)$ are taken inside this cube. For H big enough s is also big, then

$$\text{Vol}_d(\tilde{F}(\mathcal{C} \cap B_{\frac{Ks}{\lambda}}(x))) = |\mathcal{C} \cap B_{\frac{Ks}{\lambda}}(x)| \geq 2\beta_3 \cdot (2Ks)^d,$$

and on the other hand, a part of volume of $\tilde{F}(\mathcal{C} \cap B_{\frac{Ks}{\lambda}}(x))$ not exceeding $(2Ks+2)^d - (2Ks)^d$ can be excluded from the marked cube. But for big enough s it does not exceed $\beta_3 \cdot (2Ks)^d$. Hence the inequality.

According to lemma 5 inside marked cubes $\partial\tilde{F}(\mathcal{C})$ has $(d-1)$ -volume at least $\beta_4 s^{d-1}$ where β_4 depends on d , λ , and c .

Since cubes of packing do not intersect, no $8^d + 1$ cubes of U have a common point. Then for some β_5 , depending on d , λ , and c holds

$$\text{Vol}_{d-1}(\partial\tilde{F}(\mathcal{C})) \geq \beta_5 W s^{d-1}.$$

Since $F(\mathcal{C}) \subset U$ obtain $|\mathcal{C}| \leq W(2Ks+2)^d \leq \beta_6 W s^d$. Again H and s are assumed big enough. Therefore

$$\text{Vol}_{d-1}(\partial\tilde{F}(\mathcal{C})) \geq \beta_7 |\mathcal{C}| s^{-1}.$$

Due to λ -biLipschitz property of F holds

$$\frac{1}{M} |F(v) - F(u)| \leq \beta_8 |\mathcal{C}|^{\frac{1}{d}}.$$

Using the definition of s obtain

$$s \leq \beta_9 \varepsilon |\mathcal{C}|^{\frac{1}{d}},$$

which together with the last inequality for $\text{Vol}_{d-1}(\partial\tilde{F}(\mathcal{C}))$ implies the statement of lemma.

Lemma 9. *Given real $\lambda \geq 1$, $L \geq 1$, rational $c > 1$ and positive integer $j \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists a finite point set $\tilde{\mathcal{D}}$ and a parallelepiped $\Pi = \prod_{i=1}^d [0, b_i)$ where b_i are positive integer such that:*

1. $\tilde{\mathcal{D}} \subset \Pi$.
2. *There exists a tiling T_0 of Π into coordinate cubes with edges 1 and c such that $\{m(Q) : Q \in T_0\} = \tilde{\mathcal{D}}$.*
3. *For every Delone set \mathcal{D} fulfilling $\mathcal{D} \cap \Pi = \tilde{\mathcal{D}}$ and $(0, 0, \dots, 0, b_d) \in \mathcal{D}$ for every λ -biLipschitz bijection $F : \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ with L -special Delone set \mathcal{A} , the set $F(\tilde{\mathcal{D}})$ contains at least j exceptional points.*

Proof. If $j = 1$ then the desired statement is exactly lemma 6. If $j > 1$ take a parallelepiped $\Pi(\lambda, L, c, j)$ with first $d-1$ edges equal to corresponding edges of $\Pi(\lambda, L, c, 1)$ and the last edge j times greater than the corresponding edge of $\Pi(\lambda, L, c, 1)$. Divide $\Pi(\lambda, L, c, j)$ into j parallelepipeds congruent to $\Pi(\lambda, L, c, 1)$.

Take in each of them a set congruent to $\tilde{\mathcal{D}}(\lambda, L, c, 1)$. Denote the obtained set by $\tilde{\mathcal{D}}(\lambda, L, c, j)$. Obviously, it fulfills the statement of lemma.

Return to the proof of theorem 2. Let $\{c_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ be a sequence of rationals from $(1, 2]$, e.g. $c_i = 1 + \frac{1}{i}$.

In noataion of lemma 9 let $\mathcal{D}_1 = \tilde{\mathcal{D}}(1, 2, c_1, 1)$. By induction define

$$\mathcal{D}_j = \tilde{\mathcal{D}}(j, 2, c_j, \sum_{i=1}^{j-1} \#\mathcal{D}_i + 1).$$

Let $r_j = 100j \cdot \text{diam}(\mathcal{D}_{j+1})$. Without loss of generality, let r_j be strictly increasing.

Let G be an additive group of rationals with denominator equal to some positive integer exponent of 2. From each class of \mathbb{R}/G choose one number and for each chosen number take the sequence of digits after the point in its binary representation. Obtain a continuum set of non-confinal $(0, 1)$ -sequences, i.e every two sequences have an infinite set of indices for which the corresponding members are different.

For every taken sequence $\alpha = \{\alpha_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ construct a 2-special Delone set \mathcal{D}_α as follows. Take \mathcal{D}_1 so that the corresponding parallelepiped was coordinate with integer vertices. Further, if α has zero as j -th digit take a copy of \mathcal{D}_{j+1} at $\lceil r_j \rceil$ to the right from \mathcal{D}_j ; if α has unit as j -th digit then take a copy of \mathcal{D}_{j+1} at $\lceil 100jr_j \rceil$ to the right from \mathcal{D}_j . Also corresponding to \mathcal{D}_{j+1} parallelepiped should be coordinate with integer vertices. In addition, include into \mathcal{D}_α all points of \mathbb{Z}^d which are outside all the parallelepipeds corresponding to \mathcal{D}_j . These points will be standard for \mathcal{D}_α .

Prove that any two constructed sets are not biLipschitz equivalent.

Let there exist λ -biLipschitz bijection $F : \mathcal{D}_\alpha \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_\beta$. Lemma 10 states some property of this bijection.

Lemma 10. *For $j > 2\lambda$ there exists a point of \mathcal{D}_α in a copy of \mathcal{D}_j (see construction of \mathcal{D}_α) which is sent by F into some point of copy of \mathcal{D}_j in \mathcal{D}_β .*

Proof. Indeed, since image of \mathcal{D}_j contains many enough exceptional points, there is a point x of \mathcal{D}_α in a copy of \mathcal{D}_j that is sent into an exceptional point, and, moreover, $F(x)$ does not belong to copies of \mathcal{D}_i for $i < j$. If $F(x)$ belongs to copy of \mathcal{D}_j then the proof is complete. Let $y = F(x)$ belong to copy of \mathcal{D}_{j+k} , $k > 0$. Consider the image of \mathcal{D}_{j+k} under F^{-1} that is also λ -biLipschitz. Let $z \in \mathcal{D}_{j+k}$. Then

$$|F^{-1}(y) - F^{-1}(z)| \leq \lambda|y - z| \leq \lambda \text{diam}(\mathcal{D}_{j+k}) < r_{j+k-1}.$$

Therefore all exceptional points in image of \mathcal{D}_{j+k} under F^{-1} belong to copies of \mathcal{D}_i for $i < j+k$, and it immediately implies a contradiction since there are many enough exceptional points in the image of \mathcal{D}_{j+k} .

Continue the proof of theorem 2.

Take $j > 2\lambda$ such that α and β differ in j -th digit. Without loss of generality, $\alpha_j = 0$ and $\beta_j = 1$. By lemma 10 there are x_j and x_{j+1} in copies of \mathcal{D}_j and

\mathcal{D}_{j+1} respectively in \mathcal{D}_α which are taken to points y_j and y_{j+1} of corresponding copies in \mathcal{D}_β . By construction of \mathcal{D}_α and \mathcal{D}_β ,

$$100j \cdot \text{diam}(\mathcal{D}_{j+1}) < |x_j - x_{j+1}| < (100j + 2) \cdot \text{diam}(\mathcal{D}_{j+1}), \text{ and}$$

$$10000j^2 \cdot \text{diam}(\mathcal{D}_{j+1}) < |y_j - y_{j+1}| < (10000j^2 + 2) \cdot \text{diam}(\mathcal{D}_{j+1}).$$

Therefore

$$\frac{|y_j - y_{j+1}|}{|x_j - x_{j+1}|} > 99j > \lambda.$$

A contradiction with λ -biLipschitz property of F makes proof of theorem 2 complete.

References

1. M. Gromov. Asymptotic invariants for infinite groups // London Mathematical Society Lecture Notes, vol. 182, Geometric group theory. eds. J. A. Niblo, M. A. Roller, J. W. S. Cassels, 1993.
2. P. Papasoglu. Homogeneous trees are bi-Lipschitz equivalent // Geom. Dedicata, vol. 54, 1995, 301-306.
3. O. V. Bogopolskii, Infinite commensurable hyperbolic groups are biLipschitz equivalent // Algebra and Logic, vol. 36, no. 3, 1997, 155-163.
4. K. Whyte, Amenability, bi-Lipschitz equivalence, and the von Neumann conjecture // Duke Math. J. vol. 99, 1999, 93-112.
5. D. Burago, B. Kleiner, Separated nets in Euclidean space and Jacobians of bi-Lipschitz maps // Geom. Funct. Anal. vol. 8, 1998, 273-282.
6. C. McMullen, Lipschitz maps and nets in Euclidean space // Geom. Funct. Anal. vol. 8, 1998, 304-314.
7. A. I. Garber, On equivalence classes of separated nets // Model. and Anal. of Inf. Syst., vol. 16, no. 2, 2009, 109-118 (in Russian).